



# The National Medical Journal of India

VOLUME 30, NUMBER 6

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2017

## EDITORIAL

Evolving paradigms of personalized medicine in oncology KAPIL DHINGRA 305

## ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Mapping of health research funding in India 309

LALIT DANDONA, RAKHI DANDONA, G. ANIL KUMAR, KRYCIA COWLING, PRITTY TITUS, VISHWA MOHAN KATOCH, SOUMYA SWAMINATHAN

Status and trend of acute encephalitis syndrome and Japanese encephalitis in Bihar, India 317

PRAVEEN KUMAR, P.M. PISUDDE, P.P. SARTHI, M.P. SHARMA, V.R. KESHRI

## CLINICAL CASE REPORT

Terbinafine-induced liver injury 321

MADHU KAUSHAL, PRIYANKA TOLANI, NAVNEET KUMAR, SHIWANI SHARMA

## REVIEW ARTICLE

Biological pacemakers: Concepts and techniques PAURUSH AMBESH, ADITYA KAPOOR 324

## SELECTED SUMMARIES

Cisplatin-induced hearing loss in children with cancer 327

Insecticide-treated bednets for prevention of malaria 328

People with tuberculosis falling through the cracks 329

## MEDICAL EDUCATION

Identifying core competency areas to assess communication skills among interns at a tertiary teaching hospital in southern India 332

ASMA A. RAHIM, ANITHA P.M., GEETA M. GOVINDARAJ, LAILA K.V.

Effect of vestibular stimulation on spatial and verbal memory in college students 337

SAI SAILESH KUMAR, R. ARCHANA, J.K. MUKKADAN

## MEDICINE AND SOCIETY

Clinical research training and capacity building for prevention and control of non-communicable diseases: A programme in India 340

VISWANATHAN MOHAN, O. DALE WILLIAMS, SUNDARAPANDI CHELLA, RANJIT UNNIKRISHNAN,

RANJIT MOHAN ANJANA, SUDHA VIDYASAGAR, PRASANTA KUMAR BHATTACHARYA,

NAYANJEET CHAUDHURY, ANAND KRISHNAN, STEPHANIE GARCIA, CORA E. LEWIS, MYRON GROSS,

RAJENDRA PRADEEPA

Intraocular use of bevacizumab in India: An issue resolved? 345

ATUL KUMAR, KOUSHIK TRIPATHY, ROHAN CHAWLA

## SPEAKING FOR MYSELF

Errors of inclusion and exclusion in income-based provisioning of public healthcare: 348

Problems associated with below poverty line cards

VIKAS BAJPAI, NAMRATA SINGH, HARDIK SARDANA, SANJANA KUMARI, BETH VETTIYIL, ANOOP SARAYA

LETTER FROM CHENNAI 352 LETTER FROM GLASGOW 354

LETTER FROM BRISTOL 356 BOOK REVIEWS 358


## IMAGES IN MEDICINE

Mediastinal haematoma: A rare complication of central venous catheter insertion 362

SYED RIZWAN ALI, DHRUVIKA MUKHIJA, SAJAN JIV SINGH NAGPAL

CORRESPONDENCE 363 NEWS FROM HERE AND THERE 365

MASALA 367



ISSN 0970-258X

## Letter from Glasgow

### MINIMUM UNIT PRICING (MUP) FOR ALCOHOL IN SCOTLAND

Readers of the 'Letter from Glasgow' will be aware that every now and then I write about alcohol and its use in Scotland.<sup>1</sup> It has been quite a while since I got on my hobby horse, so it is time to do so again.

Scotland has a long and chequered relationship with its favourite drug, alcohol. The alcohol industry, particularly but not exclusively, the whisky industry, is important to the Scottish and UK economies for the employment it creates and the revenues it generates from excise duty and value added tax (VAT, a tax on sales and services), and from exports. The industry is quick to point out the role alcohol plays in social life but it comes with a cost for individuals and society in Scotland.

The cost of harm from alcohol in monetary terms for Scotland has been estimated to be £3.6 billion (US\$5.15 billion, €4.17 billion) per annum—£900 (US\$1288, €1041) per adult each year.<sup>2</sup> The health-related damage caused by alcohol is huge with over 60 diseases and conditions in which alcohol is a causal factor including:

- alcohol-related liver damage including a 5-fold increase in cirrhosis in 35–55-year-old people in Scotland over the past 10 years
- psychiatric illnesses and dual diagnosis
- cancers such as of the mouth, upper throat, larynx, oesophagus, breast, liver and bowel
- gastrointestinal illnesses
- neurological illnesses
- accidents—at home, and on the road affecting pedestrians, cyclists and drivers
- alcohol-related violence—among men, gender-based violence, sexual harassment, and violence to children.

In a population of over 5 million people in Scotland, 20 people die each week because of alcohol. And the alcohol-related harm extends beyond health and, in varying ways, damages children, families, women, men, communities and society as a whole.

I am not so naive to think that abstinence as a policy is possible or desirable. As public health physicians we need to decrease the amount of alcohol we drink in Scotland right across the board. This is not a problem of young drinkers or old drinkers, or men or women (although men still drink much more than women), but if you do drink, you need to drink less than you are currently. As a member of the Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems (SHAAP, available at [www.shaap.org.uk/](http://www.shaap.org.uk/); accessed on 17 Dec 2017), an organization created by the Scottish Medical Royal Colleges to help reduce alcohol-related harm in Scotland, I am particularly aware of the harms that alcohol causes. Countering that harm requires a broad strategy including: having effective governmental policies; ensuring effective prevention and treatment of alcohol problems; reducing the availability of alcohol; increasing the price of alcohol; and controlling the marketing of alcohol.

With regard to the element of increasing the price of alcohol, anoraks interested in the governance of the UK will know that the levying of excise duty on alcohol is undertaken by the UK government in Westminster (London) and that the Scottish government in Holyrood (Edinburgh) has no authority over excise

duty. However, the Scottish government can make price changes through minimum unit pricing (MUP) for alcohol. MUP sets a 'floor price' of alcohol below which retailers of alcohol cannot sell alcohol. As Brennan *et al.* state in their paper 'Under a minimum unit price policy, the minimum selling price increases in proportion to the alcohol units contained in the drink (1 unit=7.9 g/10 ml of pure ethanol)'.<sup>3</sup> The intention of MUP is to base the price of alcohol on the number of units in the bottle per container so that alcoholic drinks which are sold relatively cheaply must raise their price. So the effect in Scotland of a MUP of £0.50 (\$0.72, €0.58) per unit would be to raise the price of cheap white cider—the drink of choice to get the biggest bang for the buck—and of cheaper spirits. It would not affect the price of the vast majority of alcohol drinks that already sell for more than this, including in bars and restaurants.

In May 2012, the Scottish Parliament passed legislation to introduce MUP for alcohol. This was done not as an isolated policy on alcohol but as part of a broader strategy including brief interventions for alcohol, restrictions on marketing, training of bar staff, and better public and professional education.<sup>4</sup> The price was set at £0.50 (\$0.72, €0.58) per unit. This, though, was only the start of the struggle to implement the legislation in Scotland.

Fearful that this would set a precedent, the legislation was challenged by the Scotch Whisky Association (SWA), Spirits Europe, and the Comité Européen des Entreprises Vins (CEEV). It will not be lost on readers to know that the vast majority of the sales of the three alcohol industry organizations would not be affected by MUP. What the alcohol industry was worried about was any challenge to its power to sell—and profit from—alcohol.

The challenge by the alcohol industry was taken through the courts in Scotland, the UK and Europe. After a review by the European Court, MUP was ruled to be legal as an effective, evidence-based measure to reduce alcohol-related harm in October 2016 by the Scottish Court of Session. The SWA and its partners choose to appeal this decision. The UK Court of Appeal heard the case in July 2017 and issued its judgment in November 2017 that MUP in Scotland was legal.<sup>5</sup> Following a brief consultation on the level of price of MUP (which confirmed the £0.50 per unit price), the Scottish government will implement the legislation from 1 May 2018.

The Scottish government states that the benefits estimated of MUP in Scotland are that 120 lives would be saved and 2000 hospital admissions avoided every year by the policy when the full effects of it are realized. Furthermore, the projected benefits are greater for harmful drinkers and poorer people. The effects of the legislation will be evaluated with a 'sunset' clause on the legislation to withdraw it if it is not working.

Reducing alcohol-related harm requires a coordinated and consistent approach and there are neither magic bullets nor any easy solutions. We must use the evidence available to us in the context of different societies in which we live and work to roll back the damage inflicted by alcohol. Winston Churchill said of the fight against Nazi Germany in the Second World War that 'I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat'. The fight for MUP in Scotland shows that perhaps blood, toil, tears and sweat are also needed in the struggle against the alcohol industry.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Kohli HS. Scotland and alcohol: The gathering storm. *Natl Med J India* 2006;**19**:227.
- 2 Alcohol Focus Scotland (AFS). *Changing Scotland's relationship with alcohol—Recommendations for further action*. Glasgow:Alcohol Focus Scotland; 2017. Available at [www.alcohol-focus-scotland.org.uk/media/222528/Alcohol-strategy-recommendations-Report.pdf](http://www.alcohol-focus-scotland.org.uk/media/222528/Alcohol-strategy-recommendations-Report.pdf) (accessed on 17 Dec 2017).
- 3 Brennan A, Meng Y, Holmes J, Hill-McManus D, Meier PS. Potential benefits of minimum unit pricing for alcohol versus a ban on below cost selling in England 2014: Modelling study. *BMJ* 2014;**349**:g5452. Available at <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g5452> (accessed on 17 Dec 2017).
- 4 Scottish Government. Minimum Unit Pricing. Available at [www.gov.scot/Topics/Health/Services/Alcohol/minimum-pricing](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Health/Services/Alcohol/minimum-pricing) (accessed 17 Apr 2017).
- 5 SHAAP Media Release. Scottish health professions celebrate final legal victory, clearing the way for implementation of Alcohol Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP)

legislation. Edinburgh:SHAAP (Scottish Health Action on Alcohol Problems); 15 November 2017. Available at [www.shaap.org.uk/media-releases.html](http://www.shaap.org.uk/media-releases.html) (accessed on 17 Dec 2017).

HARPREET S. KOHLI  
*Honorary Clinical Senior Lecturer*  
*Institute of Health and Wellbeing – Public Health*  
*University of Glasgow*  
*11 Branklyn Crescent*  
*Glasgow G13 1GJ*  
*Scotland, UK*  
*lh.s.kohli@gmail.com*



**St. John's National Academy in Health Sciences**  
**St. John's Research Institute**  
 Sarjapur Road, Bangalore-560034

**Online Course on Grant Management for Health and Social Science Researchers**

The Division of Epidemiology and Population Health, St. John's Research Institute, is running an **Online course on Grant Management for Health and Social Science Researchers**.

This web-based course can be taken up at one's own free time and convenience. It provides an opportunity for busy investigators who are unable to travel to learn and update their grant management skills. This course is aimed at health researchers and social science researchers in their capacities as early career scientists, principal investigators or project managers. The complete duration of this course is 1 hour but it can be completed over a period of one month. Upon successful completion, participant will receive a **Course Completion certificate** from St. John's Research Institute

For registrations go to [www.sjri.res.in/epidemiology/activity\\_details/429](http://www.sjri.res.in/epidemiology/activity_details/429)  
 or write to [epibio@sjri.res.in](mailto:epibio@sjri.res.in)

For participants from within India: INR ₹1750 (50% off for intramural St. John's participants).  
 For participants from outside India: US \$50 (fifty US dollars only). Payment for registration is accepted via credit card, debit card or netbanking. It is to be paid along with the submission of the registration form directly on the website.